

**APPLICATION
FOR
UNITED STATES LETTERS PATENT**

Title: **SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DETECTING AND FILTERING
UNSOLICITED AND UNDESIRED ELECTRONIC MESSAGES**

Inventor and Applicant: **Brian Cunningham**

Residence of Applicant: **Powhatan, Virginia**

Citizenship of Applicant: **United States of America**

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

2 This application claims priority from U.S. Provisional Application 60/455,940,
3 “Anti-spoofing SPAM inhibitor (“ASSI”).”

STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR
DEVELOPMENT

Not applicable.

REFERENCE TO A MICROFICHE APPENDIX

Not applicable.

Field of the Invention

13 This invention relates to a system and a method for detecting and filtering
14 unsolicited and undesired electronic messages by automatically verifying that the
15 purported originator of the electronic message actually sent the message.

Description of the Related Art

17 Electronic communication is an essential tool in facilitating both business and
18 personal communication. One form of electronic messaging, email, offers several
19 advantages over traditional forms of communication. Email allows for the almost
20 instantaneous exchange of information, it allows for the transmission of multiple
21 messages at very little cost and it permits the transfer of large data files from one sender
22 to another user. Nonetheless, the inherent nature of email gives rise to certain

1 disadvantages. Most notable, and a topic of critical concern, is the increasing
2 proliferation of unwanted and unsolicited email or "Spam."

3 Spam is unsolicited email that is typically transmitted to an extremely large
4 number of email recipients. Spam is the electronic equivalent to "junk mail" received
5 by traditional mail service. Generally, a Spam email is a commercial advertisement
6 attempting to sell a product or service. Spam typically directs the recipient to take some
7 action in order to purchase the product or service being advertised. This may be in the
8 form of offering a phone number or a hyperlink in the text of the spam message which,
9 when utilized by the recipient will place the recipient in contact with the seller of the
10 goods or services. Spam is often, although not exclusively, utilized by entities
11 marketing products or services outside the norm of traditional retailers and service
12 providers. Some Spam messages contain information or graphics unsuitable for email
13 users, particularly those who are children. However, Spam offers tremendous marketing
14 benefits as it allows a retailer, marketer, or other sender to reach an incredibly large
15 audience with a minimal economic expenditure.

16 Unfortunately, this benefit to the sender of Spam comes at a considerable cost to
17 the unwilling recipients of Spam messages. Spamming costs companies millions of
18 dollars in congested servers, expenses incurred employing measures to block the Spam
19 email, and lost productivity due to email recipients having to wade through large
20 amounts of Spam solicitations in order to find desired email. Further, Spam email
21 provides an ideal medium for computer hackers to infect users' systems through the
22 introduction of computer viruses and other malicious code.

1 Persons who desire to send Spam email are able to obtain email lists in a variety
2 of ways. For example, email lists can be compiled from email addresses appearing on
3 existing emails received by the sender or from users who provide their email address
4 during electronic transactions. Additionally, lists of addresses are often compiled by
5 third parties and sold in the same manner that traditional address lists have been sold.

6 According to one estimate, as of January 2004, Spam email constituted as much
7 as 60% of all email traffic on the Internet (“Microsoft Sets Its Sights on Defeating
8 Spam,” National Public Radio, *Morning Edition*, Feb. 2, 2004). As Spam has become
9 more plentiful, there has arisen a great demand for an effective and efficient method
10 which will detect and block delivery of these unsolicited messages.

11 Spam email, like all email, originates from a Sending Email System. All
12 electronic messages, including Spam email messages, contain various data elements in a
13 header, an envelope or another designated portion of the electronic message that
14 facilitate transfer of the message. These include, most especially, the addresses of the
15 intended recipients of the message, the address of the originator of the message and the
16 date and time when the message was prepared. For example, under Internet standard
17 RFC 2821, “Simple Mail Transfer Protocol,” the message envelope of an email contains
18 various data elements including an originator address and one or more recipient
19 addresses. Similarly, under standard RFC 2822, “Internet Message Format” an internet
20 message header for an email must contain an origination date and an originator address
21 and typically includes a destination address field.

22 An email address, whether an originator or a recipient address, typically takes

1 the form of “user@domain name.” For either originator or recipient addresses, the
2 domain name portion of the email address identifies the host system to which or from
3 which email is sent or received. The “user” portion of the address identifies the
4 specified user and is assigned by the host system which, in the case of an originator
5 address, transmits emails prepared by the specified user or, in the case of a recipient
6 address, receives email messages for the specified user.

7 A host system sending an email transfers email to an intended recipient by
8 referencing the Domain Name System (“DNS”). When the sending host system
9 receives a prepared email message, it first identifies the domain name for each of the
10 intended recipients. Through processes well known to those schooled in the art, the
11 sending host system then utilizes the Domain Name System (“DNS”) to determine the
12 Internet Protocol (IP) address of the host system associated with each of the domain
13 names in each of the recipient email addresses.

14 Next, the sending host system communicates with each host system associated
15 with an intended recipient utilizing an email transfer protocol. For example, RFC 2821,
16 “Simple Mail Transfer Protocol,” (“SMTP”) describes one protocol typically used for
17 the transfer of electronic messages.

18 Although a sending host system could communicate with a receiving host system
19 over any one of the more than 65,000 communication ports available to either system,
20 by convention email transmissions are typically conducted through one or more
21 designated ports. For example, the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (“IANA”) has
22 designated communication ports numbered 0 through 1023 as System or Well Known

1 Ports and further designated port 25 for Simple Mail Transfer. See
2 <http://www.iana.org/numbers.html>. Accordingly, by convention most SMTP processes
3 are conducted by electronic communications between a sending host system's port 25
4 and a receiving host system's port 25.

5 Where a host system comprises a plurality of email servers servicing a single
6 domain name, the DNS system provides one or more IP addresses for access to any of
7 the servers. Thus, where a receiving email system may receive messages by a plurality
8 of email servers, any sender querying the DNS system will receive the same unique IP
9 address or set of unique IP addresses for the domain name. When an email or other
10 electronic communication is made to the IP address, the receiving email system, through
11 processes well known to those schooled in the art directs the transmission to the
12 appropriate server within the receiving system.

13 DNS data may be stored at the individual client machine level as well as at the
14 host system level. Additionally, DNS name servers are available through the Internet
15 for inquiries that cannot be satisfied at the client machine or host system level.

16 As noted earlier, one data element customarily included in an email message is
17 the email address from which the email originated. For example, an email user who
18 prepared a message conforming to RFC 2822 would include an originating email
19 address in the “From:” email header field such as “From: user@domain.com” in which
20 “domain.com” is the domain name from which the message originated. Optionally, an
21 originating email address, including a domain name, may appear in the “Sender:” email
22 header field.

1 One partially effective method of blocking Spam messages known by those
2 schooled in the art is for a Receiving Email System to identify the domains from which
3 Spam is known to originate and then to block any future emails which are sent with
4 originating email addresses that have that same domain name. A Receiving Email
5 System simply compiles a list of the domain names which have sent Spam messages.
6 This list, or “blacklist,” is thereafter, referenced by the Receiving Email System
7 whenever an email is received. If the email originated from a domain name on the
8 blacklist, the message is blocked from delivery.

9 Those skilled in the art will recognize that the inverse of this technique can be,
10 and has, also been implemented. That is, a Receiving Email System may compile a list
11 of trusted domain names, or a “whitelist.” Thereafter, whenever a message is received
12 by the Receiving Email System the whitelist is referenced. If the message originated
13 from a domain name on the whitelist, the message is delivered.

14 Many Receiving Email Systems employ both whitelists and blacklists. If the
15 source domain is recognized as a trusted system because it is listed on the whitelist, the
16 email is delivered. If it is not, the Receiving Email System references a blacklist to
17 determine whether the source has been identified as a source of Spam email and refuses
18 delivery if it has been so identified.

19 Several services, such as SpamCop and MAPS, have been formed to compile,
20 maintain and share the domain data of known spamming domains. These services allow
21 Receiving Email Systems to reference large databases of known sources of Spam email
22 compiled from many sources so that the Receiving Email System participating in the

1 service may exclude email originating from a domain known to be a source of Spam
2 email. This method of filtering unsolicited email has been implemented at both the user
3 level, the Receiving Email System level, as well as the Internet Service Providers (ISP)
4 level. As a matter of reference, it is estimated that ISP America On-Line blocks almost
5 2 billion messages per day from identified spamming systems.

6 However, an increasing amount of Spam is bypassing blacklist measures and
7 capitalizing on whitelists by "spoofing" itself as having originated from legitimate
8 domains. Spoofing occurs when a spamming system provides a false originating email
9 address as a data element in the email or the email envelope. The domain name of the
10 false address may be a legitimate domain name, such as "aol.com," hotmail.com," or
11 "msn.com," or it may be a fictitious domain name. Spammers falsify or "spoof" the
12 originating email address in a Spam message in order to bypass blacklists that are
13 blocking Spam and to hide their actual identity from Receiving Email Systems. Because
14 there is a plethora of legitimate domain names from which legitimate email might
15 originate, a spamming system utilizing spoofing has an almost unlimited ability to
16 conceal its identity from Receiving Email Systems by frequently changing the domain
17 name which it falsely provides as the source of the Spam messages being sent. As a
18 matter of reference, it has been estimated that 70% of all Spam contains a spoofed
19 originating email address.

20 Spoofing further compromises the ability of a Receiving Email System to use
21 blacklists or whitelists to block Spam because of the potential for blocking legitimate
22 and desired email transmissions. For example, a spammer may configure the spamming

1 email system to send out Spam with an originating email address in the message header
2 that identifies “hotmail.com” as the domain name from which the Spam email
3 originated. In such a circumstance, email systems which receive these Spam messages
4 and which utilize blacklists are faced with a dilemma. Although they could block all
5 emails originating from the hotmail.com domain, this would have the undesirable effect
6 of also blocking all non-Spam, desired emails coming from hotmail.com users.

7 Accordingly, if a Receiving Email System relies upon blacklists and whitelists
8 only to block Spam it must either deliver spoofed Spam email or deny delivery of a
9 significant amount of desired email. The first shortcoming occurs when a Spammer
10 spoofs a domain name which exists on the Receiving Email System’s trusted domain
11 name list, that is, its whitelist. The second occurs when the Receiving Email System
12 identifies a domain as a spamming domain and provides the domain data for that domain
13 to a local or centrally maintained blacklist because the domain name was falsely shown
14 as the originating domain for Spam email. Thereafter, when non-Spam email is
15 originated from the domain and transmitted to the same Receiving Email System or to
16 another Receiving Email System which references the same blacklist, the non-Spam
17 email will be blocked.

18 The spoofing problem is further exacerbated by the inability of system
19 administrators to identify all potential domain names from which non-Spam email might
20 originate. Therefore, it has become increasingly difficult for system administrators to
21 avoid blocking legitimate email while simultaneously stopping “spoofed” Spam because
22 they cannot blacklist and block domain names that are heavily utilized by legitimate

1 email senders and because they cannot be certain that some desired email will not be
2 blocked if they add a previously unidentified spamming domain name to a blacklist.

3 One method for identifying Spam which has been spoofed is to compare the IP
4 address of the Sending Email System transmitting the suspect email message with the IP
5 address assigned to the domain name identified in the originator's email address.

6 Customarily, when a Sending Email System transmits an email message, the Sending
7 Email System identifies itself to the Receiving Email System during the transmission
8 connection. For example, under RFC 2821, Simple Mail Transfer Protocol, the "Hello"
9 command is used by the Sending Email System to identify itself to the Receiving Email
10 System and the command line includes the domain name of the Sending Email System.

11 One way, therefore, to determine whether a spoofed email is being transmitted is to
12 determine the IP address of the domain name in the "Hello" command from DNS and to
13 determine the IP address of the domain name for the domain name provided in the email
14 address of the originator as set forth in the email or the email envelope. If the two IP
15 addresses are the same, then the email message is presumptively non-Spam. However,
16 if the two IP addresses are different, then the email is presumptively determined to be
17 Spam.

18 This method, commonly referred to as "reverse MX record look-up" is
19 somewhat effective in identifying Spam. However, where a spammer spoofs both the
20 origination address provided in the email headers and envelopes, but also the domain
21 name for the Sending Email System during the SMTP communication transaction, this
22 method fails. Thus, a sophisticated spoofing may provide a false origination address that

1 includes a valid domain name and also provide a false Sending Email System domain
2 name or a false Sending Email System IP address during the SMTP transaction
3 ensuring, however, that the false origination address and the false Sending Email System
4 domain name or IP address are consistent. In this way, the spoofer may avoid detection
5 of the Spam email by those administrators employing reverse MX record look-up.

6 Another method for identifying Spam which has been spoofed that is taught in
7 the prior art is to analyze portions of the email message itself to determine whether the
8 message is Spam. According to this method, suspected Spam email is electronically
9 analyzed or “filtered” according to one or more algorithms which assess the content of
10 various portions of the suspected email, including, for example, the subject line, other
11 data elements in the header of the email, the contents of the message itself, or any
12 combination of these.

13 Several types of these Spam filtering mechanisms are disclosed by the prior art.
14 These systems permit a Receiving Email System to assess email messages to determine
15 if they should be delivered. For example U.S. Pat. No. 5,999,932 (Paul '932) and U.S.
16 Pat. No. 5,884,033 (Duvall '033) disclose varieties of filtering methods.

17 The Duvall '033 patent discloses a filtering system that, in part, compares
18 portions of received email messages to information in a data system of information
19 typically contained in Spam messages. The Duvall '033 system has the capability to
20 search an email for a particular string of characters, and for a particular orientation of
21 such characters, in order to determine whether a received email message is objectionable
22 and should, therefore, be determined to be Spam.

1 The Paul '932 patent discloses a Spam filtering method in which multiple steps
2 are performed. First, data from one or more data elements from an incoming email is
3 compared with stored data. If the data properly cross-references, according to pre-
4 determined criteria, the mail is delivered. If not, one or more additional heuristic
5 techniques are executed in order to determine if the email is valid and should be
6 delivered.

7 Unfortunately, these types of Spam filters suffer from serious drawbacks.
8 Filtering programs typically require substantial processing capacity. Such programs
9 require every suspected Spam message to be parsed and analyzed by the various
10 algorithms employed by the program. Therefore, filtering programs may not be suitable
11 for installation on a single email recipients' computer because the processing capacity of
12 the computer is unlikely to be sufficient to operate the filtering program as well as other
13 applications. However, even if the processing capacity of the Receiving Email System
14 is substantial, it is still likely to be heavily taxed by a filtering program, particularly if
15 the Receiving Email System receives a high volume of email and large number of
16 suspected Spam messages.

17 Consequently, some organizations have built Filtering Email Systems, separate
18 systems which receive incoming emails and process the email messages using filtering
19 programs or other methods before transmitting them to the Receiving Email System for
20 delivery. Where the utilization of a filtering program is preferred, the use of a Filtering
21 Email System reduces the demand on the system resources of the Receiving Email
22 System that would be encountered if the program was run on the Receiving Email

1 System itself.

2 Even when a Filtering Email System is used, however, these filtering systems are
3 inefficient and are unable to consistently filter out inappropriate email while permitting
4 the delivery of valid email. This is true because the algorithms utilized, while complex,
5 are not sufficiently sophisticated to fairly and fully analyze and assess message content.
6 Moreover, Spammers can employ techniques, such as using broken words and numeric
7 representations for letters in order to avoid detection by filtering programs. For
8 example, "Viagra" could be entered as "Via gra" or "V1agra" in order to avoid
9 detection.

10 In an attempt to overcome these drawbacks, Publication No. 2003/0009698
11 discloses a system for filtering Spam that relies upon the transmission of a
12 "confirmation request" by the Receiving Email System to the purported sender. The
13 confirmation request is a reply email automatically generated by the Receiving Email
14 System in response to any incoming email that does not originate from a whitelisted
15 source or that may be potentially classified as Spam. The reply email requests that the
16 original sender manually acknowledge the confirmation request in order for the sender
17 to become a "trusted source." This method relies on the inability of most spamming
18 systems to respond to reply emails and the virtual impossibility that the spamming
19 system could respond to a large number of them. If the confirmation email cannot be
20 successfully delivered or if the system does not receive a reply to the request, then the
21 Receiving Email System lists the mail as Spam and deletes it. Otherwise, if the
22 Receiving Email System receives a reply, it adds the domain name to a trusted source

1 list, or whitelist, and forwards the message to the intended recipient.

2 Other patents, such as U.S. Pat 6,199, 102 (Cobb '102) disclose similar systems
3 that utilize some form of confirmation return email message. In the case of the Cobb
4 '102 patent, the confirmation email contains a question which must be answered by the
5 sender or requires the sender to perform some other cognitive task that cannot be
6 performed by a computer. If no response or an inappropriate response is received the
7 suspect email is blocked from delivery and deleted.

8 Although the Cobb '102 invention and the method of Publication No.
9 2003/0009698 provide advantages over filtering programs, they suffer three significant
10 drawbacks. First, they require the original sender of the email communication to take
11 additional action, that is, to reply to the confirmation message, prior to delivery of the
12 first communication. This creates additional, and typically unexpected and undesired,
13 work on the part of the original sender. Additionally, where the sender is unavailable or
14 unwilling to send a reply, delivery of the message may be delayed or denied. Second,
15 these methods typically deliver, without requiring sender confirmation, any email
16 messages which have originated from whitelisted domain names. Thus, if a Spammer
17 spoofs a domain name which is listed on the whitelist utilized by a Receiving Email
18 System employing one of these methods, the Spam email will be delivered without
19 requiring a sender confirmation message. Finally, these challenge email methods
20 require a second email delivery, typically sent to the message originator which could
21 itself prompt the preparation of a challenge email and so on, leading to a cascade of
22 emails. Even if this cascade is pre-empted by some programmed interruption, however,

1 the employment of this method still leads to a substantial increase in email traffic.

2 The method and system disclosed by U.S. Pat. No. 6,393,465 (Leeds '465)

3 attempts to solve the foregoing problems by attaching a secret authorization code to

4 each message. Users of the Leeds '465 system are provided with an authorization code

5 by a third party "overseer." The code is included in all email communications. When a

6 Receiving Email System receives email containing a code that is unrecognized, the

7 Receiving Email System may verify that the email sender is not a spammer by checking

8 with the third party overseer.

9 While the Leeds '465 system does reduce the strain on Receiving Email

10 Systems, it is fallible because it requires that the secrecy and integrity of the

11 authorization codes be maintained. If a Spammer is able to decipher a participant's

12 authorization code, he can use the code to send Spam email without detection. Further,

13 this system requires authentication by, and repeated communication with, a third-party

14 authenticator. Additionally, users of this system are dependent upon a third party's

15 representations that a particular Sending Email Server is not a spamming system.

16 There is the need, therefore, for a system and method for the detection and

17 filtering of Spam email that can be performed by Sending and Receiving Email Systems

18 without the intervention of senders or other persons and which does not excessively tax

19 the processing resources of the mail servers. There is also a need for a method to

20 identify Spam email sent by spoofing without blocking non-Spam email from the

21 domain name which has been falsely identified as the origin of the Spam. There is also

22 a need for a method which allows for the identification of Spam email which apparently

1 originates from domain names known to be the origin for many non-Spam email
2 messages without human intervention and without overtaxing the processing resources
3 of Receiving Email Systems. The present invention addresses these needs.

4

5 **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

6 The present invention provides a system and a method for detecting and filtering
7 undesired electronic messages by automatically verifying that the purported originator
8 of a suspected message actually sent the message, so that unwanted and unsolicited
9 electronic messages, particularly those with false originating address information, may
10 be blocked from delivery.

11 The invention is a system that can be employed in conjunction with a variety of
12 electronic message delivery and email protocols, including, for example, SMTP and
13 SendMail. The system comprises a software module or Sending Module, which
14 interacts with a device sending electronic messages, that is a Sending System and a
15 second software module or Receiving Module, which interacts with a device receiving
16 electronic messages, that is a Receiving System. The first and second software modules
17 of the invention can be developed and implemented in a variety of programming
18 languages and can be deployed on a variety of electronic systems. The first and second
19 modules comprise the necessary code to perform the functions associated with a
20 Sending System and a Receiving System respectively.

21 According to the invention, when a Sending System transmits an electronic
22 message for delivery, the Sending Module prepares an Information Record which

1 includes data uniquely identifying the electronic message which is being sent for
2 delivery. Preferably, the Information Record includes the time and date that the
3 message was prepared, data identifying the originator of the message, and data
4 identifying the intended recipients of the message. Optionally, the Information Record
5 may contain additional data related to the electronic message such as a unique message
6 identifier. For example, in the case of an email message, the unique identifier contained
7 in an email header's "Message-ID:" field as recommended by RFC 2822, "Internet
8 Message Format" may be utilized.

9 Those schooled in the art will recognize that a variety of data elements can be
10 utilized to uniquely identify an electronic message. For example, a checksum of the text
11 of an email message or a portion of the message, or data prepared according to an
12 algorithm applied to the message or a portion of the message could be used as a unique
13 message identifier.

14 The Information Records for all of the electronic messages sent by the Sending
15 System are stored in a database and organized for efficient retrieval. Preferably, all of
16 the Sending Modules and Receiving Modules in the communication system practicing
17 the invention will, by pre-arrangement, uniquely identify each electronic message by the
18 same data element or set of data elements or by data prepared by the same algorithm.

19 According to the invention, when a "suspect electronic message" that is, an
20 electronic message which the Receiving System cannot otherwise verify as authentic
21 and desired, is received by a Receiving System, the Receiving Module withholds the
22 suspect message from delivery. Next, the Receiving Module determines the identity of

1 the Sending System from which the suspect message has purportedly been transmitted.
2 This data may ordinarily be ascertained by referencing data in the suspect message, or,
3 alternatively, from data in an envelope accompanying the message or from data
4 transmitted during the transmission of the message. Next, the Receiving Module sends
5 a confirmation request to the Sending System from which the suspect email has
6 purportedly originated.

7 Those schooled in the art will recognize that, in the case of email messages, a
8 Receiving Module can determine the Internet Protocol (IP) address of the purported
9 Sending Email System by utilizing DNS in the same fashion that a Sending Email
10 System utilizes DNS to determine the IP address for an email that it intends to send.
11 Moreover, those schooled in the art will recognize that, in the event that a suspect email
12 received by the Receiving Email System is a spoofed email, that is an email falsely
13 identifying an originating email address with a domain name other than the system from
14 which the email originated, the IP address provided to the Receiving Module by
15 querying DNS will correspond to the domain name falsely identified as the originator
16 and not the actual source for the email.

17 The confirmation request from the Receiving Module contains data uniquely
18 identifying the suspect message which, by pre-arrangement, corresponds to the data
19 which a Sending Module in the same communication system would have stored if the
20 message was sent by a Sending System practicing the invention. Preferably, the
21 confirmation request includes the date and time that the suspect electronic message was
22 prepared, the identification of the intended recipients of the message and data

1 identifying the originator of the suspect email. Optionally, the confirmation request may
2 include a unique message identifier.

3 When a Sending System receives a confirmation request from a Receiving
4 Module, it communicates the confirmation request to the Sending Module. The Sending
5 Module references the database containing Information Records for all of the electronic
6 messages transmitted by the Sending System. If the Sending Module finds an
7 Information Record which was prepared for the suspect message, the Sending Module
8 replies to the confirmation request confirming that the Sending System transmitted the
9 suspect message. If the Sending Module does not find an Information Record which
10 was prepared for the suspect message, the Sending Module replies to the confirmation
11 request denying that the Sending System transmitted the suspect message.

12 When the Receiving System receives a reply to the confirmation request
13 affirming that the Sending System sent the suspect message, the Receiving Module
14 releases the suspect message for delivery to the intended recipient. When the Receiving
15 System receives a reply to the confirmation request denying that the Sending System
16 sent the suspect message, the Receiving Module destroys the suspect email message or
17 otherwise disposes of it according to the preferences of the administrator of the
18 Receiving System.

19 Where the invention is practiced by systems transmitting email messages, the
20 confirmation request and the reply to the confirmation request are, preferably,
21 performed by port to port communication between a Receiving Email System and a
22 Sending Email System. For example, the communication may be conducted through

1 one of the Registered Ports, that is, a port in the range 1024 to 49151. Under these
2 circumstances, when a Receiving Module attempts to make a confirmation request of a
3 Sending Email System which has not employed the invention and, therefore, does not
4 have a Sending Module, the Sending Email System will either deny access to the port or
5 fail to respond to the request. If either condition occurs, the Receiving Module can
6 neither affirm nor deny that the email is Spam and may, optionally, further analyze the
7 email using other filtering methods or deliver the email with a warning to the recipient
8 that whether the email is Spam could neither be affirmed nor denied.

9

10 **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

11 FIG. 1 is a schematic illustration of a Sending Email System and a Receiving
12 Email System processing email according to the invention.

13 FIG. 2 is a schematic illustration of a Sending Email System and a Receiving
14 Email System processing and filtering a spam email according to the invention.

15 FIG. 3 is a schematic illustration of plural Sending Email Systems and a
16 Receiving Email System processing and filtering spam emails according to the invention
17 and in conjunction with a spam filter.

18 FIG. 4 is a schematic illustration of plural Sending Email Systems and a
19 Receiving Email System processing email according to the invention and in which a
20 centralized Confirming Email System is utilized by one Sending Email System and one
21 client user.

22

1 **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS**

2 The present invention provides a system and a method for detecting and filtering
3 undesired electronic messages by automatically verifying that the purported originator
4 of a suspected undesired message actually sent the message, so that unwanted and
5 unsolicited messages, particularly those transmitted with false origination information,
6 may be blocked from delivery. The description provided here is presented to enable one
7 of ordinary skill in the art to make and practice the invention. However, various
8 modifications to the preferred embodiments which are described will be apparent to
9 those skilled in the art. Additionally, although the present invention is described in
10 relation to the detection of Spam email messages, those skilled in the art will appreciate
11 that the system and method described may also be applied to other forms of electronic
12 communication including, for example, text messaging by cellular telephones or voice
13 over Internet Protocol (VoIP) messaging.

14 A preferred embodiment of the invention is shown in FIG. 1. A Sending Email
15 System (10) servicing the domain name abc.com is disposed to send email messages
16 prepared by users with email addresses including the domain name abc.com. The
17 Sending Email System (10) is in communication with a Sending Module (12). A
18 Receiving Email System (20) servicing the domain name xyz.com is disposed to receive
19 and deliver email messages to users with email addresses including the domain name
20 xyz.com. The Receiving Email System (20) is in communication with a Receiving
21 Module (22).

22 Those schooled in the art will recognize that the Sending Email System may

1 consist of a single computer running an email application (for example, Microsoft
2 Outlook), an email server transmitting emails prepared by a plurality of users and
3 serving one or more domain names, a plurality of email servers sending emails prepared
4 by a plurality of users and serving one or more domain names, or a Relay Email System,
5 that is, a system receiving emails from another Sending Email System and forwarding
6 these with or without modification to a Receiving Email System. Similarly, those
7 schooled in the art will recognize that the Receiving Email System may consist of a
8 single computer running an email application, an email server, a plurality of servers, or a
9 Gateway Email System.

10 Gateway Email Systems include those systems which receive and forward emails
11 to a plurality of Receiving Email Systems and additionally, those which operate to
12 forward messages received in one email transport environment to an email recipient in
13 another email transport environment. For example, a Gateway Email System may
14 operate to receive messages by SMTP and forward them to systems or users receiving
15 messages in SendMail.

16 While for clarity of description of the invention the receiving and sending
17 functions of each email system have been segregated, those schooled in the art will
18 recognize that the sending and receiving functions may be and ordinarily are performed
19 by a single computer serving as an email server.

20 Referring to FIG. 1, a Sending Email System (10) receives an email message
21 (100) prepared by user with the email address sender@abc.com to be sent to a recipient
22 with the email address recipient@xyz.com. Consistent with RFC 2822, “Internet

1 Message Format”, the sender’s email address and the recipients’ email address appear in
2 the header portion of the email message at the header fields “From:” and “To”
3 respectively . Additionally and also consistent with RFC 2822, the date and time which
4 the message was prepared is inserted at the header “Date:”

5 Prior to the transmission of the prepared email message, the Sending Module
6 (12) generates an Information Record (13) containing data uniquely identifying the
7 email being transmitted. Preferably the Information Record (13) includes data contained
8 in the header of the email including the sender’s address, the recipient’s address and the
9 date and time when the email was prepared. Additionally, an Identification Data String,
10 that is a unique data element, such as a unique alphanumeric identifier, may optionally
11 be generated by the Sending Module (12) and included in the Information Record (13)
12 and in the header or body of the email message being sent. For example, the unique
13 identifier included at the header “Message-ID:” as recommended by RFC 2822 may be
14 used as an Identification Data String. Optionally, other Identification Data Strings, such
15 as a checksum for the message text, may be prepared and stored in the Information
16 Record related to the message.

17 The Information Record is stored by the Sending Module in an Information
18 Record database (11). The database is organized for efficient search and retrieval of the
19 Information Records. Those schooled in the art will recognize that the Information
20 Record database may be stored on the same computer on which the sending module
21 resides or may optionally be stored externally on a computer in communication with the
22 Sending Module.

1 The email message is transmitted (101) by the Sending Email System via
2 standard and well-known methods to the Receiving Email System (20) of the intended
3 recipient. When the Receiving Email System (20) receives the email message or the
4 suspect email, the Receiving Module (22) temporary withholds delivery of the suspect
5 email by routing the suspect email into a temporary hold queue (21) while it performs
6 the confirmation process.

7 During the confirmation process, the Receiving Module (22) first determines the
8 domain name in the originating email address from the message header of the suspect
9 email. Next, the Receiving Module (22) prepares a confirmation request and transmits it
10 (102) to the Sending Email System associated with the domain name identified as the
11 source of the suspect email message. The confirmation request contains identification
12 data which uniquely identifies the suspect email and by pre-arrangement, corresponds to
13 the data which Sending Modules practicing the invention within the communication
14 network use to uniquely identify emails. Preferably this data includes the date and time
15 the suspect email was prepared, the sender's email address, and the addresses of the
16 intended recipients of the email. This information will typically be extracted from the
17 header fields of the suspect email.

18 Optionally, by pre-arrangement, the email message sent by a Sending Email
19 System (10) contains an Identification Data String used by the Sending Module (12) to
20 identify the email. In this circumstance, the confirmation request sent by the Receiving
21 Email System (20) includes the Identification Data String in addition to other
22 identification data, including, for example, the date and time that the email message was

1 prepared, the email address of the sender of the email and the email addresses of the
2 intended recipients of the email.

3 When a confirmation request is received by the Sending Email System (10), the
4 Sending Email System communicates the confirmation request to the Sending Module
5 (12). The Sending Module (12) compares the data submitted in the confirmation request
6 with the Information Records stored in its Information Record database (11). When the
7 Sending Module locates an Information Record (13) prepared for the email identified by
8 the identification data submitted in the confirmation request, the Sending Module (12)
9 replies to the confirmation request with an affirmation (103) that the Sending Email
10 System (10) sent the suspect email.

11 Preferably, where the Sending Email System comprises at least one email server,
12 the Receiving Email System communicates directly with the Sending Email System via
13 port to port communications rather than by email transmission. For example, the
14 communication may, by pre-arrangement between systems practicing the invention in
15 the communications network, be conducted through one of the Registered Ports, that is,
16 a port in the range 1024 to 49151.

17 Where the Sending Email System comprises a single client computer running an
18 email application and which may be offline, it may be necessary for the Receiving
19 Module to communicate with the Sending Module by specialized email
20 communications. In such a circumstance, the Sending Module, by pre-arrangement with
21 the Receiving Module, may include in the original email message data identifying the
22 original email message as a transmission for which the confirmation request must be

1 conducted by specialized email communication. Additionally, in this circumstance a
2 confirmation request email includes data identifying the confirmation request email as a
3 transmission for which a confirmation request should not be prepared.

4 When the Receiving Module receives a reply to the confirmation request that
5 affirms that the Sending Email System sent the suspect email, the email is withdrawn
6 from the temporary hold queue (21) and made available for delivery (104) to the
7 recipient at the address recipient@xyz.com by the Receiving Email System (20).

8 FIG. 2 illustrates a preferred embodiment of the invention in operation to prevent
9 the delivery of unsolicited and undesired Spam email. A Spammering Email System (50)
10 is disposed to transmit Spam email messages. A Sending Email System (40) servicing
11 the domain name abc.com is disposed to transmit email messages prepared by users with
12 email addresses including the domain name abc.com. The Sending Email System (40)
13 includes a Sending Module (42). The Sending Module comprises an Information
14 Record database (41). A Receiving Email System (30) servicing the domain name
15 xyz.com is disposed to receive and deliver email messages to users with email addresses
16 including the domain name xyz.com. The Receiving Email System (30) includes a
17 Receiving Module (32).

18 Referring to FIG. 2, a Spammer at email address spammer@qrs.com prepares a
19 Spam email to be sent to recipient at email address recipient@xyz.com and sends it
20 (105) to the Spammering Email System (50). However, in order to avoid detection,
21 Spammer inserts a false origination address, sender@abc.com, in the header of the Spam
22 email message. In addition to the false origination address, the recipients' email address

1 also appears in the header portion of the email message. The Spam email message also
2 contains date and time data inserted by the Spammer at the header field, “Date:”.

3 The Spam email message is transmitted (106) by the Spammering Email System
4 (50) via standard and well-known methods to the Receiving Email System (30) of the
5 intended recipient. When the Receiving Email System (30) receives the Spam email
6 message or the suspect email, the Receiving Module (32) temporary suspends delivery
7 of the suspect email by routing the suspect email into a temporary hold queue (31) while
8 it performs the confirmation process.

9 During the confirmation process, the Receiving Module (32) first determines the
10 domain name for the purported originating email address from the message header of
11 the suspect email. Because the Spammer has falsely provided sender@abc.com as the
12 originating email address, the Receiving Module (32) will determine that abc.com is the
13 domain name of the originating domain. Next, the Receiving Module (32) prepares a
14 confirmation request and transmits it (107) to the domain, abc.com, identified as the
15 source of the suspect email message. The confirmation request contains data which
16 uniquely identifies the suspect email and which, by pre-arrangement, corresponds to
17 data used by Sending Modules practicing the invention in the communication network to
18 uniquely identify email messages. Preferably this data includes the date and time the
19 suspect email was sent, the sender’s email address, and the email address of the intended
20 recipient of the email.

21 When a confirmation request is received by the Sending Email System (40), the
22 Sending Email System communicates it to the Sending Module (42). The Sending

1 Module (42) compares the data submitted in the confirmation request with the
2 Information Records stored in its Information Record database (41). When the Sending
3 Module fails to locate an Information Record prepared for the email corresponding to
4 the data submitted in the confirmation request, the Sending Module (42) replies to the
5 confirmation request with a denial (108) that the Sending Email System transmitted the
6 suspect email.

7 When the Receiving Module receives a reply to the confirmation request that
8 denies that the Sending Email System transmitted the suspect email, the Receiving
9 Module (32) destroys the suspect email message or otherwise disposes of it according to
10 the preferences of the administrator of the Receiving Email System.

11 In the preferred embodiment of the system which is described, the respective
12 Receiving and Sending Modules communicate with one another via port to port
13 communications. Where the Sending Email System comprises a single client computer
14 running an email application which may be offline, it may be necessary for the
15 Receiving Module to communicate with the Sending Module by specialized email
16 communications. In such a circumstance, the Sending Module, by pre-arrangement with
17 the Receiving Module, may include in the original email message data identifying the
18 original email message as a transmission for which the confirmation request must be
19 conducted by specialized email communication. Additionally, in this circumstance a
20 confirmation request email includes data identifying the confirmation request email as a
21 transmission for which a confirmation request should not be prepared.

22 Where the Receiving Module (32) attempts to communicate a confirmation

1 request to a Sending Email System that is not practicing the invention (not shown), the
2 Receiving Module will either be denied access to the port for such confirmation requests
3 or, alternatively, will be granted access but fail to receive an appropriate response from
4 the Sending Email System. When this occurs the Receiving Module may, optionally,
5 release the email for delivery to the intended recipient, may append data to the email
6 informing the recipient that it was unable to confirm or deny that the email was Spam or
7 may process the email according to other Spam detection methods.

8 Communication between Sending and Receiving Modules may also occur by
9 Secure Sockets Layer protocols and, where additional security is desired, the
10 communications may be encrypted and decrypted according to methodologies
11 commonly known in the art.

12 The invention may also be practiced in combination with one or more alternate
13 methods for detecting and filtering Spam e-mail. FIG. 3 illustrates a preferred
14 embodiment of the invention in operation in conjunction with a Spam filter. A
15 Spammer Email System (80) is disposed to transmit Spam email messages. A Sending
16 Email System (60) servicing the domain name abc.com is disposed to transmit email
17 messages prepared by users with email addresses including the domain name abc.com.
18 The Sending Email System (60) includes a Sending Module (62). The Sending Module
19 (62) comprises an Information Record database (61).

20 A Receiving Email System (70) servicing the domain name xyz.com is disposed
21 to receive and deliver email messages to users with email addresses including the
22 domain name xyz.com. The Receiving Email System (70) includes a Receiving Module

1 (72) and a Spam filter module (75) disposed to parse and analyze suspect email
2 messages according to one or more algorithms.

3 A second Sending Email System (90) servicing the domain name jkl.com is
4 disposed to transmit email messages prepared by users with email addresses including
5 the domain name, jkl.com.

6 Referring to FIG. 3, the second Sending Email System (90) receives an email
7 message (109) prepared by user mailer@jkl.com to be transmitted to recipient at email
8 address recipient@xyz.com. The sender's email address and the recipients' email
9 address appear in the header portion of the email message. Additionally, the time and
10 date the message was prepared is presented in the header of the email.

11 The email message is transmitted (110) by the Sending Email System via
12 standard and well-known methods to the Receiving Email System (70) of the intended
13 recipient. When the Receiving Email System (70) receives the email message or the
14 suspect email, the Receiving Module (72) temporary suspends delivery of the suspect
15 email by routing the suspect email into a temporary hold queue (71) while it performs
16 the confirmation process.

17 During the confirmation process, the Receiving Module (72) first determines the
18 domain name for the originating email address from the message header of the suspect
19 email. Next, the Receiving Module (72) prepares a confirmation request and transmits it
20 (111) to the domain identified as the source of the suspect email message. The
21 confirmation request contains data which uniquely identifies the suspect email and
22 which by pre-arrangement, corresponds to the data used by Sending Modules practicing

1 the invention in the communications network to uniquely identify email messages.
2 Preferably this data includes the date and time the suspect email was prepared, the email
3 address of the originator and the email addresses of the intended recipients of the email.
4 Because the second Sending Email System (90) is not practicing the invention, the
5 second Sending Email System (90) does not reply to the confirmation request.

6 Preferably, the confirmation request is transmitted to the Sending Email System
7 (90) via port to port transmission over a port which by pre-arrangement has been
8 designated for the communication of confirmation requests by Sending Email Systems
9 practicing the invention in the communication network. When the Receiving Module
10 (72) fails to communicate with the Sending Email System (90) or fails to receive an
11 appropriate response to the confirmation request from the Sending Email System (90),
12 the Receiving Module (72) removes the suspect email from the temporary hold queue
13 (71) and forwards (112) the suspect email to the Spam filter module (75) for parsing and
14 analysis.

15 The Spam filter module (75) processes the suspect email according to one or
16 more Spam detection methods. When the Spam filter module (75) determines that the
17 suspect email is not Spam email, the message is made available for delivery (113) to the
18 intended recipient at recipient@xyz.com.

19 Similarly and again referring to FIG. 3, a Spammer at email address
20 spammer@qrs.com prepares two Spam email messages to be sent to recipient at email
21 address recipient@xyz.com. In order to avoid detection, the Spammer inserts a false
22 origination address, sender@abc.com, in the header of the first Spam email message and

1 sends it (114) to the Spamming Email System (80). The Spammer inserts a second false
2 origination address, mailer@jkl.com, in the header of the second Spam email message
3 and sends it (115) to the Spamming Email System. In addition to the false origination
4 addresses, the recipients' email addresses and the date and time the email messages were
5 prepared also appear in the header portion of the Spam email messages.

6 The first Spam email message is transmitted (116) by the Spamming Email
7 System via standard and well-known methods to the Receiving Email System (70) of the
8 intended recipient. When the Receiving Email System (70) receives the first Spam
9 email message or the first suspect Spam email, the Receiving Module (72) temporary
10 suspends delivery of the first suspect Spam email by routing the first suspect Spam
11 email into the temporary hold queue (71) while it performs the confirmation process.
12 Similarly, the second Spam email message is transmitted (117) by the Spamming Email
13 System via standard and well-known methods to the Receiving Email System (70) of the
14 intended recipient. When the Receiving Email System receives the second Spam email
15 message or the second suspect Spam email, the Receiving Module (72) temporary
16 suspends delivery of the second suspect Spam email by routing the second suspect Spam
17 email into the temporary hold queue (71) while it performs the confirmation process.

18 During the confirmation process, the Receiving Module (72) first determines the
19 domain names for the originating email addresses from the message headers of the first
20 and second suspect Spam emails. Because the Spammer has falsely provided
21 sender@abc.com as the originating email address for the first suspect Spam email and
22 mailer@jkl.com as the originating email address for the second suspect Spam email, the

1 Receiving Module (72) will determine that abc.com is the domain name of the
2 originating domain for the first suspect Spam email and that jkl.com is the domain name
3 of the second suspect Spam email.

4 Next, the Receiving Module (72) prepares a first confirmation request and
5 transmits it (118) to the Sending Email System (60) servicing the domain, abc.com,
6 which is identified as the source of the first suspect Spam email. The first confirmation
7 request contains data which uniquely identifies the first suspect Spam email and which
8 by pre-arrangement, corresponds to the data used by Sending Modules practicing the
9 invention in the communications network to uniquely identify email messages.
10 Preferably this data includes the date and time the first suspect Spam email was
11 prepared, the email address of the purported originator of the message and the email
12 addresses of the intended recipients of the email.

13 The Receiving Module (72) also prepares a second confirmation request and
14 transmits it (119) to the Sending Email System (90) servicing the domain, jkl.com,
15 which is identified as the source of the second suspect Spam email. The second
16 confirmation request contains data which uniquely identifies the second suspect Spam
17 email and which by pre-arrangement, corresponds to the data used by Sending Modules
18 practicing the invention in the communications network to uniquely identify email
19 messages. Preferably this data includes the date and time the second suspect Spam
20 email was prepared and the email address of the purported originator of the message and
21 the addresses of the intended recipients of the email.

22 When the first confirmation request is received by the Sending Email System

1 (60) servicing the domain, abc.com, the Sending Email System communicates the
2 request to the Sending Module (62). The Sending Module (62) compares the data
3 submitted in the first confirmation request with the Information Records stored in its
4 Information Record database (61). When the Sending Module fails to locate an
5 Information Record prepared for the email corresponding to the data submitted in the
6 confirmation request, the Sending Module (62) replies to the first confirmation request
7 with a denial (120) that the Sending Email System (60) servicing abc.com sent the
8 suspect email.

9 When the Receiving Module receives a reply to the confirmation request that
10 denies that the Sending Email System sent the first suspect Spam email, the Receiving
11 Module (72) destroys the first suspect Spam email message or otherwise disposes of it
12 according to the preferences of the administrator of the Receiving Email System.

13 Preferably, the confirmation request and the reply to the confirmation request are
14 transmitted to the via port to port transmission over a port which by pre-arrangement has
15 been designated for the communication of confirmation requests by Receiving and
16 Sending Email Systems practicing the invention in the communication network.

17 Since the Sending Email System (90) servicing the domain jkl.com is not
18 practicing the invention, the Receiving Email System (70) will either not be able to
19 communicate via the designated port with the Sending Email System (90) or it will fail
20 to receive an appropriate response to the confirmation request. When the Receiving
21 Module (72) fails to communicate with the Sending Email System (90) or fails to
22 receive an appropriate response to the confirmation request from the Sending Email

1 System (90), the Receiving Module (72) removes the suspect email from the temporary
2 hold queue (71) and forwards (121) the suspect email to the Spam filter module (75) for
3 parsing and analysis. The Spam filter module (75) processes the second suspect email
4 message according to one or more Spam detection methods. When the Spam filter
5 module (75) determines that the suspect email is Spam email, the Spam filter module
6 (75) destroys the second suspect Spam email message or otherwise disposes of it
7 according to the preferences of the administrator of the Receiving Email System.

8 Those skilled in the art will recognize that where a Sending Email System
9 comprises a plurality of email servers servicing a single domain name, the Sending
10 Module for the Sending Email System may comprise a centralized Information Record
11 database in communication with each of the Sending Email System's email servers. In
12 this circumstance each of the email servers of the Sending Email System will extract the
13 data necessary to compile an Information Record from each email sent by the server.
14 This data is communicated to the centralized Information Record database.

15 Similarly, when a confirmation request is received from a Receiving Email
16 System, the Sending Email System will forward the request to the centralized
17 Information Record database and the Sending Module will compare the data in the
18 confirmation request with the data in the centralized Information Record database to
19 determine whether the email corresponding to the confirmation request was transmitted
20 by one of the email servers in the Sending Email System. When the Sending Module
21 confirms that an Information Record prepared for the email message exists in the
22 database it will reply in the affirmative and when the Sending Module fails to locate an

1 Information Record prepared for the email message it will reply with a denial that the
2 Sending Email System transmitted the email message corresponding to the data in the
3 confirmation request.

4 In the embodiments illustrated thus far, the Sending Module is an integral part of
5 a Sending Email System although the functions of the Sending Module may be
6 distributed among a plurality of computers within the Sending Email System. Those
7 skilled in the art will also recognize that the Sending Module functions may also be
8 performed by a Confirming Email System operating independent from the Sending and
9 Receiving Email Systems. FIG 4. depicts an electronic communication network in
10 which some of the Sending Email Systems in the network are practicing the invention.
11 By pre-arrangement within the communication network, for confirmation purposes, each
12 Sending Email System practicing the invention identifies each email sent by specified
13 identification data. Preferably this data includes the sender's email address, the email
14 addresses of the intended recipients and the date and time the email was prepared and an
15 Identification Data String. The Identification Data String may be a data string prepared
16 by an algorithm such as a checksum of the message text.

17 Referring to FIG. 4, a Sending Email System (170) servicing the domain name
18 abc.com is disposed to transmit email messages prepared by users with email addresses
19 including the domain name abc.com. The Sending Email System (170) includes a
20 Sending Module (172). The Sending Module (172) comprises an Information Record
21 database (171)

22 A Receiving Email System (150) servicing the domain name xyz.com is

1 disposed to receive and deliver email messages to users with email addresses including
2 the domain name xyz.com. The Receiving Email System (150) is in communication
3 with a Receiving Module (152).

4 A Confirming Email System (180) is disposed to receive electronic
5 communications, including email messages, and comprises a Centralized Sending
6 Module (182). The Centralized Sending Module includes a Centralized Information
7 Record database (181) and a Centralized Serviced Name Registry (185). The
8 Centralized Serviced Name Registry includes a record of each domain name utilizing
9 the Confirming Email System (180), as well as the email address of any domain name
10 client utilizing the Confirming Email System for the confirmation of suspect emails.

11 A second Sending Email System (140) servicing the domain name jkl.com is
12 disposed to transmit email messages prepared by users with email addresses including
13 the domain name jkl.com. The second Sending Email System (140) is in
14 communication with the Confirming Email System (180).

15 A third Sending Email System (160) servicing the domain name qrs.com is
16 disposed to transmit email messages prepared by users with email addresses including
17 the domain name, qrs.com.

18 Referring to FIG. 4, the first Sending Email System (170) receives an email
19 message (400) prepared by user with the email address sender@abc.com to be
20 transmitted to a recipient with the email address recipient@xyz.com. Consistent with
21 RFC 2822, “Internet Message Format”, the sender’s email address and the recipient’s
22 email address appear in the header portion of the email message at the header fields

1 “From:” and “To” respectively . Additionally and also consistent with RFC 2822, the
2 date and time which the message was prepared is inserted at the header “Date:”
3 Prior to the transmission of the prepared email message, the Sending Module
4 (172) of the first Sending Email System generates an Information Record (173)
5 containing the specified identification data for the email consistent with the pre-
6 arrangement within the network regarding the data used to identify emails for
7 confirmation purposes. The Information Record (173) is stored by the Sending Module
8 (172) in an Information Record database (171). The database is organized for efficient
9 search and retrieval of the Information Records.

10 The second Sending Email System (140) receives an email message (600)
11 prepared by user with the email address mailer@jkl.com to be sent to a recipient with
12 the email address recipient@xyz.com. Consistent with RFC 2822, “Internet Message
13 Format”, the sender’s email address and the recipients’ email address appear in the
14 header portion of the email message at the header fields “From:” and “To” respectively .
15 Additionally and also consistent with RFC 2822, the date and time which the message
16 was prepared is inserted at the header “Date:”

17 Prior to the transmission (601) of the prepared email message to the Receiving
18 Email System, the second Sending Email System (140) extracts the data from the email
19 message necessary to compile an Information Record containing the specified
20 identification data for the email consistent with the pre-arrangement within the network
21 regarding the data used to identify emails for confirmation purposes. The second
22 Sending Email System (140) communicates the data (610) to the Confirming Email

1 System (180). This communication is, preferably, performed by port to port
2 communication between the second Sending Email System (140) and the Confirming
3 Email System (180).

4 The Confirming Email System communicates the data to the Centralized
5 Sending Module (182) which generates an Information Record (183) containing the
6 specified identification data for the email consistent with the pre-arrangement within the
7 network regarding the data used to identify emails for confirmation purposes.

8 The third Sending Email System (160) receives an email message (500) prepared
9 by user with the email address sendertoo@qrs.com to be sent to a recipient with the
10 email address recipient@xyz.com. Consistent with RFC 2822, “Internet Message
11 Format”, the sender’s email address and the recipients’ email address appear in the
12 header portion of the email message at the header fields “From:” and “To” respectively .
13 Additionally and also consistent with RFC 2822, the date and time which the message
14 was prepared is inserted at the header “Date:” The user with the email address
15 sendertoo@qrs.com also sends (510) a copy of the email message to the Centralized
16 Communication System (180).

17 Although the third Sending Email System (160) is not practicing the invention,
18 the client machine for sendertoo@qrs.com transmits a copy of the email message to the
19 Confirming Email System (180) so that confirmation may be conducted by the
20 Confirming Email System (180). Those skilled in the art will recognize that this may be
21 accomplished simply by identifying an email address for the Confirming Email System
22 (180) as a cc: or bcc: recipient of the email message.

1 Upon receipt of the email message sent by sendertoo@qrs.com, the Centralized
2 Sending Module (182) of the Centralized Communication System generates an
3 Information Record (184) containing the specified identification data for the email
4 consistent with the pre-arrangement within the network regarding the data used to
5 identify emails for confirmation purposes.

6 The Information Record (183) prepared for the email message sent by
7 mailer@jkl.com and the Information Record (184) prepared for the email message sent
8 by sendertoo@qrs.com are stored by the Centralized Sending Module (182) in an
9 Information Record database (181). The database is organized for efficient search and
10 retrieval of the Information Records.

11 The first (401), second (601) and third (501) email messages are transmitted by
12 the first (170), second (140) and third (160) Sending Email Systems via standard and
13 well-known methods to the Receiving Email System (150) of the intended recipient.
14 When the Receiving Email System (150) receives the first (401) second (601), and third
15 (501) suspect emails, the Receiving Module (152) temporary withholds delivery of each
16 of the suspect emails by routing each suspect email into a temporary hold queue (151)
17 while it performs the confirmation process.

18 During the confirmation process, the Receiving Module (152) first transmits a
19 Confirmation Source Request to the Centralized Sending Module (182) for each of the
20 suspect emails. The Confirmation Source Request for each email contains data
21 identifying the purported sender of each suspect email. Preferably the Confirmation
22 Source Request includes the email address of the purported sender for each suspect

1 email. The Confirmation Source Request for the first suspect email (402) includes data
2 identifying sender@abc.com as the purported sender, the Confirmation Source Request
3 for the second suspect email (602) includes mailer@jkl.com as the purported sender and
4 the Confirmation Source Request for the third suspect email (502) includes
5 sendertoo@qrs.com as the purported sender. Upon receipt of each Confirmation Source
6 Request, the Confirming Email System (180) compares the data identifying the
7 purported sender with data in the records of the Centralized Serviced Name Registry
8 (185) to determine whether the Confirming Email System (180) performs confirmation
9 functions for the user or domain identified by each Confirmation Source Request.

10 When the Confirming Email System fails to identify a record in the Centralized
11 Serviced Name Registry corresponding to the purported sender in the first Confirmation
12 Source Request, the Confirming Email System replies (403) to the first Confirmation
13 Source Request with a denial that it can confirm the first suspect email. When the
14 Confirming Email System identifies a record in the Centralized Serviced Name Registry
15 corresponding to the purported sender in the second and third Confirmation Source
16 Requests, the Confirming Email System replies to each request (603 and 503) with an
17 affirmation that it may perform a confirmation.

18 Upon receipt of the first reply (403) from the Confirming Email System denying
19 that the Confirming Email System (180) may perform a confirmation for the first
20 suspect email, the Receiving Module (152) determines the domain name for the
21 originating email address from the message header of the first suspect email. Next, the
22 Receiving Module (122) prepares and transmits a first Confirmation Request (404)

1 corresponding to the first suspect email (401) and transmits the first Confirmation
2 Request to the Sending Email System associated with the domain name identified as the
3 source of the suspect email message, that is, the first Sending Email System (170). The
4 first Confirmation Request contains the specified identification data for the first suspect
5 email consistent with the pre-arrangement within the network regarding the data used to
6 identify emails for confirmation purposes.

7 Upon receipt of the second and third replies (503 and 603) from the Confirming
8 Email System affirming that the Confirming Email System can perform confirmation for
9 the second and third suspect emails, the Receiving Module (122) prepares and transmits
10 a second Confirmation Request (604) corresponding to the second suspect email (601)
11 to the Confirming Email System (180) and prepares and transmits a third Confirmation
12 Request (504) corresponding to the third suspect email (501) to the Confirming Email
13 System (180). The second and third Confirmation Requests contain the specified
14 identification data for the second and third suspect email respectively consistent with the
15 pre-arrangement within the network regarding the data used to identify emails for
16 confirmation purposes.

17 When the first Confirmation Request (404) is received by the first Sending Email
18 System (170) the Sending Email System communicates the request to the Sending
19 Module (172). The Sending Module (172) compares the data submitted in the first
20 Confirmation Request with the Information Records stored in its Information Record
21 database (171). When the Sending Module locates an Information Record (173)
22 prepared for the email identified by the identification data submitted in the first

1 Confirmation Request, the Sending Module (172) replies to the first Confirmation
2 Request with an affirmation (405) that the first Sending Email System (170) sent the
3 first suspect email.

4 When the Receiving Module receives the affirmation reply (405) to the first
5 Confirmation Request (404) that affirms that the first Sending Email System (170) sent
6 the first suspect email, the email is withdrawn from the temporary hold box (151) and
7 made available for delivery (406) to the recipient at the address recipient@xyz.com by
8 the Receiving Email System (150).

9 When the second Confirmation Request (604) is received by the Confirming
10 Email System (180), the Confirming Email System communicates the request to the
11 Centralized Sending Module (182). Similarly, when the third Confirmation Request
12 (504) is received by the Confirming Email System (180), the Confirming Email System
13 communicates the request to the Centralized Sending Module (182).

14 The Centralized Sending Module (182) compares the data submitted in the
15 second Confirmation Request with the Information Records stored in its Information
16 Record database (181). When the Centralized Sending Module locates an Information
17 Record (183) prepared for the email identified by the identification data submitted in the
18 second confirmation request, the Centralized Sending Module (182) replies to the
19 confirmation request with an affirmation (605) confirming the authenticity of the second
20 suspect email.

21 In like fashion, the Centralized Sending Module (182) compares the data
22 submitted in the third Confirmation Request with the Information Records stored in its

1 Information Record database (181). When the Centralized Sending Module locates an
2 Information Record (184) prepared for the email identified by the identification data
3 submitted in the third confirmation request, the Centralized Sending Module (182)
4 replies to the confirmation request with an affirmation (505) confirming the authenticity
5 of the third suspect email.

6 When the Receiving Module receives a reply to the second confirmation request
7 confirming the authenticity of the second suspect email, the email is withdrawn from the
8 temporary hold queue (151) and made available for delivery (606) to the recipient at the
9 address recipient@xyz.com by the Receiving Email System (150). When the Receiving
10 Module receives a reply to the third Confirmation Request confirming the authenticity
11 of the third suspect email, the email is withdrawn from the temporary hold queue (151)
12 and made available for delivery (506) to the recipient at the address recipient@xyz.com
13 by the Receiving Email System (150).

14 Preferably, the communications between the Receiving Email System and the
15 Confirming Email System are conducted via port to port communications. Further,
16 those skilled in the art will recognize that the Receiving Email System may maintain a
17 database of the email addresses and domains serviced by the Confirming Email System
18 and may refer to this database in order to determine whether to make a Confirmation
19 Request of the Confirming Email System or of the Sending Email System hosting the
20 domain name of the purported sender. Further, where there is a plurality of Confirming
21 Email Systems operating in a communications network, the database maintained by the
22 Receiving Email System may identify the specific Confirming Email System performing

1 confirmation functions for the purported sender. Alternatively, a consolidated
2 Centralized Serviced Name Registry may provide a comprehensive database identifying
3 the specific Confirming Email System for purported senders.

4 While the invention has been described in reference to certain preferred
5 embodiments, it will be readily apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that certain
6 modifications or variations may be made to the system without departing from the scope
7 of invention claimed below and described in the foregoing specification

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22